

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/400,724	09/21/1999	MARK E. OGRAM	1475B.5A	3732
7	590 03/06/2003			
MARK E OGRAM			EXAMINER	
7454 E BROADWAY STE 203 TUCSON, AZ 85710		COSIMANO, EDWARD R		
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/400,724	OGRAM, MARK E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Edward R. Cosimano	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1				
Period for Reply \(\bigcup \)						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 January</u>	<u>uly 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 September 1999</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>12</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/400,724

Art Unit: 3629

- 1. Applicant should note the changes to patent practice and procedure:
  - A) effective December 01, 1997 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 62, No. 197, Friday October 10, 1997; and
  - B) effective November 07, 2000 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 65, No. 54603, September 08, 2000.
- 2. The examiner regrets the late citation of prior art.
- 3. In view of the new cited and applied prior art, the examiner's amendment discussed and approved in the interview of September 10, 2002, see below, is most and hence has not been entered.
- 4. The specification and drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification or drawings. Applicant should note the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.74, § 1.75, § 1.84(o,p(5)), § 1.121(a)-1.121(f) & § 1.121(h)-1.121(i).

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

5. In the claims:

Please replace claim 1 with the following:

- --1. (AMENDED) A financial processing system comprising:
- a) automatic means for receiving a customer account data originating directly from a remote customer computer, and amount data from a remote merchant computer via a computer network, where the customer computer and merchant computer are separate and distinct computers;
- b) automatic means for communicating said customer account data and said amount data to a remote bank computer via a telephone network;
- c) automatic means for receiving an authorization indicia from said bank computer via the phone network; and,
- d) automatic means for communicating via said computer network a representation of said authorization indicia to said merchant computer.--

Please replace claim 7 with the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/400,724 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

--7. A financial transaction system comprising:

a) a merchant computer containing promotional data, said merchant computer having automatic means for communicating said promotional data via a computer network to a remote computer; and,

- b) a financial processing computer, remote from said merchant computer, said financial processing computer having automatic means for:
  - 1) receiving customer account data and amount data via said computer network, where the account data and amount data originate from separate and distinct locations,
    - 2) obtaining an authorization indicia via a telephone network, and,
  - 3) via said computer network, communicating a representation of said authorization indicia to a said merchant computer; and,

wherein said merchant computer includes automatic means for storing said representation of said authorization indicia within a memory of said merchant computer.--

- 5.1 Authorization for this Examiner's Amendment was given in a telephone interview with representative Ogram on September 10, 2002.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - (c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

هر

Application/Control Number: 09/400,724 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

6.1 Claims 1-5 & 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Communications Week article in view of common well accepted practice.

- 6.1.1 In regard to claims 1, 4, 5 & 7, the Communications week article discloses in January 1996 that it was known to in on-line commerce to use a credit intermediary. The credit intermediary uses customer account information, for example credit information, that has been received from an first remote computer that us an user via a network to obtain an authorization indicia, for example an approval number. After the authorization indicia has been received, the authorization indicia is passed on to the merchant via a network so as to indicate that payment has been made for an item being purchased.
- 6.1.2 The Communications week article does not disclose that the intermediary receives amount data from a second remote computer, that is merchant, however, since:
  - A) the approving entity, such as a bank or credit card company requires the amount data to be specified before issuing an authorization indicia;
  - B) a merchant requires the correct payment for merchandise being purchased; and
- C) the customer may alter the amount information in the customer's advantage; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made that the credit intermediary of the Communications week article would receive the amount data from the second remote computer.
- 6.1.3 In regard to claims 2, 3, 9 & 10, it is noted that the Communications week article discloses the use of passwords to authenticate the identity of users on a network.
- 6.1.4 In regard to claim 8, it is noted that in the on-line commerce system described in the Communications week article, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made that the merchant, after receiving payment would generated a shipping order and then delivery the purchased item to the customer as is the well established procedure.

## 7. Response to applicant's arguments.

7.1 All rejections and objections of the previous Office action not repeated or modified and repeated here in have been over come by applicant's last response.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,724

Art Unit: 3629

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cosimano whose telephone number is (703) 305-9783.

Page 5

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached on (703)-308-2702. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number

is (703) 308-1113.

8.1 The fax phone number for **UNOFFICIAL/DRAFT FAXES** is (703) 746-7240.

8.2 The fax phone number for **OFFICIAL FAXES** is (703) 305-7687.

8.3 The fax phone number for **AFTER FINAL FAXES** is (703) 308-3691.

03/02/03

Edward R. Cosimano

Primary Examiner A.U. 3629